

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/682,426

Applicant(s)

MIYASHITA ET AL.

Examiner

Thanh-Truc Trinh

Art Unit

1753

## All Participants:

(1) Thanh-Truc Trinh.

(2) Alan J. Kasper.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 14 September 2007

Time: 2:00 PM

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*A telephone call was made on September 14, 2007 to inquire a response for the Office Action made in February 22, 2007. The Applicant's representative confirms the case is abandoned.*

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)